This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/767,602		01/22/2001	Brennan J. McTernan	4700-6	2523
29858	7590	07/16/2004	•	EXAMINER	
BROWN, R	AYSM	AN, MILLSTEIN,	MANIWANG, JOSEPH R		
900 THIRD AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10022				2144	

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	No.			
		09/767,602	MCTERNAN ET AL.	V			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph R Maniwang	2144				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'	V IS SET TO EXPIRE	3 MONTH(S) FROM				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, r y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 b. cause the application to becc	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/2						
2a) <u></u> ☐	•	action is non-final.					
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	±x parte Quayle, 1935	э С.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
• —	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration	า.				
′=	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alastian requiremen	5. t				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiremen	и.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		A II I II E anima				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{01/22/01}$ is/are: a) \boxtimes a						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			1/d)			
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
		AGITIMOT. 110to the att	202 22				
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documen Certified copies of the priority documen 						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
	application from the International Burea						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list						
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Noti	ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>see Office Action</u> .	´ 6) ☐ Oth	er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/767,602

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 02/11/02, 08/02/01, and 05/21/01 were in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements were considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colby et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,449,647), hereinafter referred to as Colby, and further in view of Monteiro et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,983,005), hereinafter referred to as Monteiro.

Colby disclosed a method and system for communicating packets using content-aware switching. Data transfer was initiated through a client request for content, which a flow director responded to by generating a list of candidate servers able to fill the request (see column 7, lines 24-32). The server list was based on the type of request and located among a plurality of database records storing such information (see column 9, line 66 through column 10, line 8). The server was also listed in a prioritized list according to type (see column 11, lines 13-27). The system then attempted to establish

Application/Control Number: 09/767,602

Art Unit: 2144

a connection to one of the candidate servers for delivery of content (see column 8, line 38 through column 9, line 4; column 14, lines 50-61). Content included multimedia content, such as streaming video/audio, interactive TV, entertainment, or news (see column 9, Table 1). Identified servers included a router connected to content servers (see column 16, lines 28-47; Fig. 21a).

While Colby disclosed generating a list of candidate servers and attempting to establish a connection to one of the servers, Colby did not specifically disclose determining whether a connection may be made to a first server identified in the server list for content delivery, and determining whether a connection may be made to a second server in the list for content delivery if the first connection was unsuccessful, repeating such attempts until a connection was successful. Colby further did not specifically disclose establishing connections to multicast routers capable of unicast/TCP-out connections.

In a related art of network communications, Monteiro disclosed a system for delivery of multimedia content. The invention of Monteiro was similar to that of Colby in that it received at a client a sorted list of servers capable of fulfilling a request selected from a content list (see column 14, lines 8-24, 50-60). From this server list, the client software automatically determined whether a connection could be made to the first server on the list for content delivery, and if unsuccessful, then repeated this attempt on the ordered list of servers until a connection was established (see column 14, line 61 through column 15, line 19). Additionally, Monteiro disclosed that the use of TCP and UDP in multicasting communications was well known for delivering multimedia content,

Application/Control Number: 09/767,602

Art Unit: 2144

such as high-quality audio/video streams, graphics, and text (see column 1, lines 29-59; column 4, lines 13-22). Monteiro disclosed delivering multimedia content parts in the form of packets (see column 5, lines 60-67). To deliver the packets, Monteiro disclosed the use of media servers to function as routers for content. Monteiro disclosed both multicast and unicast possibilities. A media server could be used to route multicast streams to clients, or alternatively a unicast transmission could be used (see column 6, lines 9-28). Monteiro further disclosed the use of TCP (see column 6, line 60 through column 7, line 4). Most importantly, Monteiro disclosed that the multicast delivery structure could be implemented using a combination of unicast/multicast connections (see column 6, lines 51-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Colby and Monteiro to provide a system for delivering multimedia content by sending to a client an ordered list of servers capable of fulfilling the client's request for content, successively determining whether a connection may be made to the list of servers until a connection was established, and the servers including multicasting routers with the provision for unicast/TCP connections as claimed. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to consider the teachings of Monteiro concerning the combined use of multicast and unicast/TCP for the potential gain in efficiency and guarantees they offered in multimedia content delivery systems (see column 6, lines 51-59). Furthermore, the teachings of Moteiro would have benefited the system of Colby as they provided a highly scalable architecture for

Art Unit: 2144

delivery of multimedia content (see column 2, lines 6-11; column 3, lines 58-65; column 5, lines 51-59).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Katariya (U.S. Pat. No. 6,226,635) disclosed a system for processing client queries using a proxy server.

Schuetze et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,751,612) disclosed a search method for locating servers matching the criteria of a client query.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R Maniwang whose telephone number is (703) 305-3179. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A Cuchlinski can be reached on (703)308-3873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JM

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600